

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,741	01/26/2004	Michael Ehrhart	283-300 CON2	8650	
20874	7590 05/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI 101 SOUTH SALINA STREET			LE, THIE	LE, THIEN MINH	
SUITE 400	JABINA GIREEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SYRACUSE,	NY 13202		2876		
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/200	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u>44</u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/764,741	EHRHART ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Thien M. Le	2876					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicate or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
THE - External after of the control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. 195, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi 197 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO 198 by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	l.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n <u>12 March 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)[☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	plication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice u	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims							
4)🖾	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>2 and 12</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>3-11 and 13-21</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 26 January 2004	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	i).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc							
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage					
	application from the International	, ,,,						
* (See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies no	received.					
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	—·					

Application/Control Number: 10/764,741

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

The preliminary amendment filed on 6/25/2004 has been entered. Claims 1-21 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-11 and 13-21 are rejected since they lack of proper antecedents for the claims.

Specifically:

Claim 3 is rejected since it lacks a proper antecedent basis for the phrase "said first, second, third and four datasets". It is noted that the proper support is found in independent claim 2.

Claim 4 is rejected since it lacks a proper antecedent basis for the phrase "said first, second, third and four datasets". It is noted that the proper support is found in independent claim 2.

Claim 5 is rejected since it lacks a proper antecedent basis for the phrase "said first, second, third and four datasets". It is noted that the proper support is found in independent claim 2.

Claim 6 is rejected since it lacks a proper antecedent basis for the phrase "said first, route and driver association are stored as links within said database". It is noted that the proper support is found in independent claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,741

Art Unit: 2876

Claim 7 is rejected since it lacks a proper antecedent basis for the phrase "said OCR-A or OCR-B characters, said text characters or said signature is stored as a second color image". It is noted that the proper support is found in independent claim 2.

Claims 8-11 are rejected as they are dependent on claim 6.

Similarly, claims 13-21 are rejected. The proper supports are found in claim 12.

For the purposes of this Office Action, as best interpreted the claims, the examiner considers that claims 8-11 and 13-21 depend on claims 2 and 12 respectively.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,722,569 (herein after referred as "the '569 patent"). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the essentially reciting the same limitations.

Similar to the claimed invention, claims 36, 43, 44 and 46 together recite: the step of capturing a first color image (base claim 35), storing the first color image (claim 46), capturing a second color image (base claim 42), decoding the image (claim 36), associating, displaying and linking (claims 43, 44, and 46).

The combination of claims 36, 43, 44, and 46 includes all limitations set forth in claim 1. It would have been obvious to combine the limitations of claims 36, 43, 44, and 46 into one single because they essentially are features of the same system. As can be seen, the patent protections have been granted to the earlier filed application.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 12 are allowed.

Claims 3-11 and 13-21 (as best understood the claims) would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to disclose a method for managing parcel delivery comprising the steps of: capturing the first and second color images; decoding the bar code located within the first color image; attempting to locate and decode the data according to OCR-

Art Unit: 2876

A, OCR-B, text characters; locating and cropping a signature, and various data processing and database management steps as recited in claim 2.

The prior art also fails to disclose the limitations of claim 12.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thien M. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2396. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Le, Thien Minh Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876 May 2, 2005